





















ASSOCIATIONS



























Contractors (AGC)













ORGANIZATIONS

GLAMSQUAD



April 1, 2019

The Honorable Lorena Gonzalez California State Assembly State Capitol, Room 2114 Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: AB 5 (GONZALEZ) WORKER STATUS: INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS

SUPPORT IF AMENDED - AS AMENDED MARCH 26, 2019

Dear Assembly Member Gonzalez,

The California Chamber of Commerce and the organizations listed below **SUPPORT IF AMENDED** your **AB 5 (Gonzalez)**, which exempts certain industries/professions (doctors, insurance agents, securities brokers, and direct sellers) from the application of the *Dynamex Operations West v. Superior Court ("Dynamex")* decision. While we appreciate the recognition in **AB 5** that the *Dynamex* decision is not one size fits all and agree the professions identified should be exempted under **AB 5**, the Legislature should not stop with selecting just a few professions and not others similarly situated. Accordingly, we are seeking

additional amendments that provide a more progressive and holistic approach to the application of *Dynamex* that reflects today's modern workforce, as set forth below.

The *Dynamex* Decision:

Prior to *Dynamex*, California courts and state agencies had long applied what is known as the *Borello* test for determining whether a worker was an independent contractor for labor and employment purposes. The *Borello* test was established by the California Supreme Court's decision *S.G. Borello & Sons, Inc. v Dept. of Industrial Relations* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 341.¹ This flexible, multi-factor approach looked primarily at whether the hiring entity had a "right to control" the manner in which the worker performed the contracted service, along with eight other "secondary" factors, such as whether the worker was engaged in a distinct occupation or business, the skill required in the particular occupation, and whether the worker or the hiring entity supplied the tools used to perform the work and the place where the work was performed.

Despite the *Borello* test being used for nearly three decades in the employment context, the California Supreme Court made a surprising and unprecedented departure from the *Borello* test and announced a significant change in the law, adopting the "ABC" test for determining whether an individual is an employee under the Wage Orders. Notably, this test has never existed in any form of California law, either in statute or by a regulatory action. This is also the first time that the right to control is no longer determinative.

Under this new "ABC" test, a person will be considered an independent contractor only if the hiring entity can prove all three of the following:

- (A) that the worker is free from the control and direction of the hiring entity in connection with the performance of the work, both under the contract for the performance of the work and in fact;(B) that the worker performs work that is outside the usual course of the hiring entity's business; and.
- (C) that the worker is customarily engaged in an independently established trade, occupation, or business of the same nature as the work performed.

This new test places in doubt the sustainability of a significant portion of independent contractor relationships in California and has the potential to cause substantial economic harm to millions of California citizens. Because of the rigidity of the test, specifically factors "B" and "C", most individuals who control their own schedule, control the projects or tasks that they take on, and control the way in which they perform the tasks or projects, will likely lose existing contracts and work opportunities because they perform work that is similar to that of the business entity retaining their services and/or are not in an independent business or trade of the same work being performed.

With one judicial opinion, nearly 30 years of established law has been overturned virtually overnight (and possibly retroactively as well). The Industrial Welfare Commission, which was empowered to promulgate and amend the Wage Orders, including the Wage Order at issue in *Dynamex*, was defunded over 15 years ago. This means that, when the Wage Orders were finalized, the use of technology, platforms, and the flexible work arrangements that now exist in California's economy were never considered. This decision from the Supreme Court takes California backwards into ideas about employment that have no relation to the modern workforce and that have never been contemplated by elected officials or agencies.

^{&#}x27;Under *Borello*, each service arrangement must be evaluated on its facts, and the dispositive circumstances may vary from case to case [¶] ... Besides the [traditional common law] "right to control the work," the factors include (1) the alleged employee's opportunity for profit or loss depending on his managerial skill; (2) the alleged employee's investment in equipment or materials required for his task, or his employment of helpers; (2) whether the service rendered requires a special skill; (4) the degree of permanence of the working relationship; and (5) whether the service rendered is an integral part of the alleged employer's business.

<u>Additional Amendments Are Needed to Protect the Opportunity for Millions of Californians to Maintain Their Careers:</u>

(1) A Broader Exemption for Professionals:

AB 5 currently exempts doctors, insurance agents, and securities brokers/advisers. We agree that these professionals should be exempted from the application of *Dynamex*, but so should many others. Architects, engineers, lawyers, real estate agents, therapists, accountants, speech interpreters and translators, court reporters, barbers, hair stylists, and so many other individuals who have advanced degrees or are licensed by the State, perform work as independent contractors and want to maintain that status. These individuals choose their own hours, projects, and rate of pay. At the informational hearing in the Assembly Labor Committee, even proponents acknowledged that these individuals had greater bargaining power and were not as vulnerable to misclassification as others.

However, without a broader exemption in **AB 5**, such professionals will lose their opportunity to maintain their status as independent contractors. The "B" factor prohibits any independent contractor performing work within the usual course of business of the hiring entity, which could include the services provided by many of these individuals for multiple companies. Accordingly, we request a broader exemption for professionals than just those currently identified in **AB 5**.

(2) A Broader Exemption for Individuals Who, Like Direct Sellers, Prefer to Control Their Own Schedule:

AB 5 exempts direct sellers from the application of *Dynamex*, with which we agree. Direct sellers control their own schedules with regard to the days and hours they work and make their own decisions regarding to whom they sell products. There are numerous other independent contractors who enjoy this same control and flexibility and should be able to maintain their status as independent contractors, just like direct sellers. Newspaper distributors, drivers in the gig economy, taxi cab drivers, truck drivers, consultants, travel agents, repair person, videographers, caterers, freelance writers, photographers, musicians, graphic designers, and so many others who all control when they work and for whom they work and they should be able to maintain their status as independent contractors.

(3) Business to Business Exemption:

AB 5 should also exempt business to business contracts from the application of *Dynamex*. Any sole proprietor, partnership, LLC, LLP, or corporation should be able to contract with another lawful business to provide services, despite whether the services provided are within the "usual course of business." For example, a restaurant that contracts with a delivery company to deliver its food each week, should be able to maintain that contract. An online retailer that receives all of its sales through its website should be able to hire a website design company to update its website, even though this service could arguably be within the usual course of the retailer's business.

(4) Ability to Subcontract for Short-Term Projects:

One of the main reasons companies utilize independent contractors is to fulfill a demand for a short-term project. Even though the company may have a full workforce, an unexpected order or contract may require immediate, extra workers to satisfy the deadline for the project. "Hiring up" in such a scenario does not make sense for either the company or the individual, as the demand for the work is only temporary. An example of this unexpected, immediate demand for help, is when the devastating wildfires spread throughout Northern California. There was an immediate need for additional independent owner/operators of trucks that could assist in hauling debris as well as transporting tools and supplies. Companies need the flexibility to manage these unexpected increases in demand through the use of independent contractors. **AB 5** should be amended to include this exemption.

Retroactive Application of the Dynamex Decision Is Further Increasing Litigation:

Dynamex has already increased litigation costs for individual claims, class actions, and representative actions against California businesses of all sizes. The threat of litigation is exacerbated because some California courts are applying the *Dynamex* decision retroactively - up to 4 years back. See Oriana Johnson, et al. v. VCG-IS LLC, et al., (Cal. Sup. Ct. 2018) No. 30-2015-00802813. Retroactive application means that companies that were playing by the rules and classifying workers under the Borello test are now facing even more litigation due to the potential retroactive application of Dynamex. See Lawson v. Grubhub., (N.D. Cal. 2018) No. 3:15-cv-05128. We appreciate the acknowledgment in AB 5 that the bill is declaratory of existing law for those industries/professions exempted from the decision. However, for those who are not exempted, the retroactive application is significant.

California is estimated to have nearly 2 million residents who choose to work as independent contractors. That figure is a conservative one as the 2018 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Economic Release did not include the number of individuals who supplement their income with online platforms. 79 percent of independent contractors prefer their status over traditional employment according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics Economic Release (June 7, 2018). Furthermore, in a California August 2018 survey, 93% of independent contractors said they would opt to remain independent contractors rather than become employees. *EMC Research August 2018*.

Failing to further amend **AB 5** with the additional exemptions listed above, has the potential to eliminate the vast majority of independent contractors in California. This not only hurts the business model of a broad swath of industries and billions of venture capital dollars that are increasingly invested in businesses, but also it hinders California as a national leader in the innovation economy.

For all of these reasons, we respectfully **SUPPORT** if **AB 5** is further **AMENDED** to provide a more progressive and holistic approach that fit today's modern workforce.

Sincerely,

Laura Curtis Policy Advocate

California Chamber of Commerce

American Trucking Associations, Inc. Association of Language Companies Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo

CalAsian Chamber of Commerce

California Ambulance Association

California Association of Licensed Investigators

California Building Industry Association

California Coalition of Travel Organizers

California Construction and Industrial Materials

Association

California Defense Counsel

California Employment Law Council

California Forestry Association

California League of Food Producers

California News Publishers Association

California Retailers Association

Che Salinas, Office of the Governor

Citizens Against Lawsuit Abuse
Civil Justice Association of California
Electronic Transactions Association

Galmsquad

IPSE – The Association of Independent Workers

Pro Small Biz CA

Professional Independent Consultants of

America, Inc.

Rover.com

Sacramento Regional Builders Exchange San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership

Silicon Valley Leadership Group

The Joint National Committee for Languages

The National Council for Languages and

International Studies

Western States Trucking Association

CC: